

1 MATTHEW R. WALSH
2 19197 GOLDEN VALLEY RD #333
3 SANTA CLARITA, CA 91387
4 (661) 644-0012

5 Plaintiff In Pro Per,

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MATTHEW R. WALSH
Plaintiff In Pro Per,
vs.

ROKOKO ELECTRONICS
(AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50,
INCLUSIVE)

Defendant

Case No.: 2:25-CV-05340-ODW-RAO

*[Assigned to Hon. Otis D. Wright, II,
Courtroom 5D; Hon. Rozella A. Oliver,
Courtroom 590]*

Hearing Date: January 26, 2025
Hearing Time: 1:30 PM

**DECLARATION OF MATTHEW R.
WALSH re: MISSED DEADLINES**

8
9 I, Matthew R. Walsh, declare I am the Plaintiff in this matter. I have personal
10 knowledge of the following facts and if called as a witness I could and would
11 testify competently hereto. All text, images and exhibits herein are true and
12 accurate copies which I have received or have made and I am authenticating all of
13 them under the penalty of perjury.

14
15 Defendant has missed every Court-ordered deadline in this matter:

16 i. RFA's, ROG's & RFP's by October 20, 2025 but refused to
17 return even one substantial answer

18 **(FAILED TO COOPERATE)**

19 b. September 9, 2025 – November 7, 2025 no mutual cooperation with
20 preparing the JOINT REPORT **(FAILED TO COOPERATE)**

21 (Exhibit 4-6)

22 c. September 30, 2025 – Scheduled 26(f) conference

23 **(FAILED TO APPEAR)** (Exhibit 7)

24 d. October 27, 2025 – Final day to hold a 26(f) conference per Dkt #71
25 and Dkt #83 and Rule 26(f)(1)

26 **(FAILED TO TIMELY APPEAR)**

27 e. November 9, 2025 – Final day to prepare and file a 26(f) joint report

28 **(FAILED TO TIMELY SUBMIT)**

29 f. November 17, 2025 – Scheduling Conference

30 **(FAILED IN ADVANCE DUE TO (c), (d), (e))**

31 2. Docket #83 - October 17, 2025 the magistrate judge had ordered Defendants
32 to engage in a 26(f) conference pursuant to Local Rule 37-1 (within ten
33 days); that date subsequently fell on October 27, 2025. Defendant's did not
34 appear in time. **(FAILED TO TIMELY APPEAR)** (Exhibit 8)

- 35 **3.** Docket #83 - October 17, 2025 the magistrate judge had ordered Defendants
36 to engage in a L.R. 37-1 conference regarding subpoenas pursuant to Local
37 Rule 37-1 (within ten days); that date subsequently fell on October 27, 2025.
38 Defendant’s did not appear in time. **(FAILED TO TIMELY APPEAR)**
39 (Exhibit 8) (See also Dkt #85)
- 40 **4.** Docket #71 made very clear: if you fail to submit a joint report in advance of
41 the 7-day window; dismissal or striking the answer and default was the
42 punishment for the offending party. **(FAILED TO COMPLY)**
- 43 **5.** The Court specifically warned Defendant in (Dkt #71) *“The failure to*
44 *submit a Joint Report in advance of the date set forth in the caption” -- due*
45 *November 9, 2025 – “may result in the dismissal of the action, striking the*
46 *answer and entering a default, or the imposition of sanctions.”.*
- 47 **6.** The Court further warned *“A Joint Report which does not comply with Rule*
48 *16, Rule 26(f), and this Order may cause continuance of the date set forth in*
49 *the caption and possible sanctions under Rule 16(f) against the party or*
50 *parties **responsible**”.* Yet, the joint report (and Defendants) violate:
- 51 a. Rule 16(f)(A) *“failure to appear at a scheduling or pretrial*
52 *conference” as Defense failed to appear (Dkt #85) within the deadline*
53 of October 27, 2025.

54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64

b. Rule 16(f)(C) – “*failure to obey a scheduling order or pretrial order*”
which has been demonstrated throughout this document and on the
record at a minimum in (Dkt #73, #78, #80, #80-2, #84, #85)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America
that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 10th day of November, 2025, in Santa Clarita, California.



Matthew R. Walsh
Plaintiff In Pro Per