

REED SMITH LLP
A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

Katherine J. Ellena (SBN 324160)
kellena@reedsmith.com
Valentino Gorospe IV (SBN 352854)
Vgorospe@reedsmith.com
REED SMITH LLP
515 South Flower Street, Suite 4300
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514
Telephone: +1 213 457 8000
Facsimile: +1 213 457 8080

Michael B. Galibois (*pro hac vice*)
mgalibois@reedsmith.com
Emily Graue (*pro hac vice*)
egraue@reedsmith.com
Reed Smith LLP
10 South Wacker Drive, 40th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606-7507
Telephone: +1 312.207 1000
Facsimile: +1 312.207 6400

*Attorneys for Defendant,
Rokoko Electronics, et al.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MATTHEW R. WALSH

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROKOKO ELECTRONICS, and
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:25-cv-05340-ODW-RAO

*[Assigned to Hon. Otis D. Wright, II,
Courtroom 5D]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT ROKOKO
ELECTRONICS' APPLICATION TO
FILE UNDER SEAL LIMITED
EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION
TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF ITS
REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S
RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE**

*[Concurrently filed with Application to
Seal; Declaration of Gerardo V.
Gorospe]*

Fact Discovery Closes: August 10, 2026
Trial Date: March 9, 2027

1 On June 30, 2026, Defendant Rokoko Electronics (“Rokoko”) filed its Reply to
2 Plaintiff Matthew R. Walsh’s (“Plaintiff”) Response to the Court’s Order to Show
3 Cause (“Response”). Having considered Defendant Rokoko Electronics’ Application to
4 File Under Seal Limited Portions of Its Reply to Plaintiff’s Response to Order to Show
5 Cause (“Application”), the declarations and supporting papers filed in support of the
6 Application, the Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 149) filed in this action, Local
7 Rule 79-5, and the record in this action, the Court finds good cause and compelling
8 reasons to grant the Application.

9 The Court finds that the materials identified below contain confidential
10 commercial and business information, including information concerning a confidential
11 agreement between Rokoko and a third party, public disclosure of which could reveal
12 nonpublic confidential business information subject to third-party nondisclosure
13 obligations. The Court finds that the requested sealing is narrowly tailored because
14 Rokoko seeks to seal only Exhibit G to the Gorospe Declaration and the limited portions
15 of its Reply brief that quote, cite, or summarize the confidential testimony contained in
16 Exhibit G.

17 Accordingly, Rokoko’s Application is **GRANTED**.

18
19 The following materials shall be filed under seal:

- 20 1. Exhibit G to the Declaration of Gerardo V. Gorospe in Support of
21 Defendant Rokoko Electronics’ Reply to Plaintiff’s Response to Order to Show
22 Cause.
- 23 2. Those limited passages in Rokoko’s Reply to Plaintiff’s Response to Order
24 to Show Cause that quote, cite, or summarize the confidential testimony included
25 in Exhibit G to the Gorospe Declaration.

26 //

27 //

28

1 Rokoko shall file on the public docket a redacted version of its Reply that redacts
2 only the sealed passages identified in this Order. Rokoko may publicly file the
3 Declaration of Gerardo V. Gorospe in Support of Rokoko's Reply and all
4 nonconfidential exhibits, provided that Exhibit G is omitted from public filing and filed
5 under seal. Rokoko shall lodge under seal the unredacted Reply brief and Exhibit G in
6 accordance with Local Rule 79-5 and the Court's CM/ECF procedures.

7
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated:

11 HON. OTIS D. WRIGHT, II
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28