



1 MATTHEW R. WALSH  
2 19197 GOLDEN VALLEY RD #333  
3 SANTA CLARITA, CA 91387  
4 (661) 644-0012

5 Plaintiff In Pro Per,

6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MATTHEW R. WALSH  
19197 GOLDEN VALLEY RD #333  
SANTA CLARITA, CA 91387,

Plaintiff In Pro Per,

vs.

ROKOKO ELECTRONICS  
(AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE)  
31416 AGOURA RD STE 118  
WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA  
91361

Defendant

Case No.: 2:25-CV-05340-ODW-RAO

Before: Hon. Otis D. Wright II  
Courtroom 5D

Hearing date: TBD  
Hearing time: TBD

**[CORRECTED][PROPOSED] ORDER  
GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO STRIKE  
NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

8 **[CORRECTED][PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING**

9 **PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO STRIKE NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

10 The Court, having reviewed and considered Plaintiff Matthew R. Walsh’s Motion  
11 to Strike Defendant’s Notice of Removal and all related filings, the accompanying Memorandum  
12 of Points and Authorities, the Declarations and Exhibits submitted in support, and all other  
13 evidence and argument presented, and good cause appearing:  
14  
15

16  
17 The Court finds that the Notice of Removal was procedurally defective and  
18 materially misleading, having been filed by an unadmitted attorney using the name and signature

19 block of another without authorization. The Court further finds that the removal relied upon  
20 knowingly false statements concerning the parties' citizenship, omissions of jurisdictionally  
21 significant facts, and other conduct that undermines the integrity of the judicial process.

22  
23 For these reasons, and pursuant to its inherent authority and Federal Rule of Civil  
24 Procedure 60(b), the Court VACATES the Notice of Removal as void ab initio...

25  
26 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 27
- 28 1. Plaintiff's Motion to Strike the Notice of Removal is GRANTED;
  - 29 2. The Notice of Removal filed by Defendant is hereby vacated as void ab initio as it was  
30 procedurally defective and obtained through unauthorized practice of law;
  - 31 3. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is STRICKEN as procedurally defective (L.R.'s 7-3, 11-  
32 6.1, 11-6.2)
  - 33 4. All subsequent federal filings submitted by Defendant or their unadmitted counsel are  
34 STRICKEN or vacated and declared void ab initio as the removal itself was improper;
  - 35 5. This case is REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles;
  - 36 6. The removal of this action is vacated and declared void ab initio and did not toll any  
37 deadlines or procedural obligations in the underlying state court action.
  - 38 7. Plaintiff may file a motion for attorney's fees, costs, or sanctions under 28 U.S.C. §  
39 1447(c) and Rule 11 within 14 days.
  - 40 8. Defendant's counsel Reed Smith LLP is DISQUALIFIED from further appearance in this  
41 matter.

42 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

43  
44 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

45  
46 \_\_\_\_\_  
47 Hon. Otis D. Wright II  
United States District Judge